



PIANC

The World Association for Waterborne
Transport Infrastructure

TECHNICAL-BIOLOGICAL BANK PROTECTIONS FOR INLAND WATERWAYS

PART 1: BASICS OF A BEST PRACTICE APPROACH



InCom Working Group Report N° 128 part 1 – 2025

PIANC REPORT N° 128 part 1
INLAND NAVIGATION COMMISSION

**TECHNICAL-BIOLOGICAL BANK
PROTECTIONS FOR INLAND WATERWAYS
PART 1: BASICS OF A BEST PRACTICE APPROACH**

7 January 2025

PIANC has Technical Commissions concerned with inland waterways and ports (InCom), coastal and ocean waterways (including ports and harbours) (MarCom), environmental aspects (EnviCom) and sport and pleasure navigation (RecCom).

This report has been produced by an international Working Group convened by the Inland Navigation Commission (InCom). Members of the Working Group represent several countries and are acknowledged experts in their profession.

The objective of this report is to provide information and recommendations on good practice. Conformity is not obligatory and engineering judgement should be used in its application, especially in special circumstances. This report should be seen as an expert guidance and state-of-the-art on this particular subject. PIANC disclaims all responsibility in the event that this report should be presented as an official standard.

**PIANC HQ
Boulevard du Roi Albert II 20 B. 3
1000 Brussels | Belgium**

<http://www.pianc.org>

VAT BE 408-287-945

ISBN 978-2-87223-045-7

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	6
PREAMBLE.....	10
SHORT SUMMARY.....	11
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	13
1 GENERAL ASPECTS	30
1.1 Scope	30
1.2 Introduction	31
1.2.1 Motivation and Difficulties to Overcome.....	31
1.2.2 Terms of Reference (TOR) according to InCom.....	33
1.2.3 Specifications of the ToR according to WG Decisions and Chosen Approach .	35
1.2.4 Structure of Report and Application Hints	39
1.2.5 Related PIANC Reports	42
1.2.6 Members of the Working Group (WG)	43
1.2.7 Meetings with Major Decisions	44
1.2.8 Acknowledgements	45
1.2.9 Remarks Concerning Copyrights	46
2 SPOTLIGHT ON RELEVANT PUBLICATIONS	47
2.1 Definition of Bioengineering Methods	47
2.2 Waterway-Related Guidelines	48
2.2.1 German DWA-M 519 Code of Practice on TBPs for Inland Waterways	48
2.2.2 UK Estuary Edges Approach	50
2.2.3 Report on a Test Section on the German River Rhine at Worms.....	52
2.2.4 Vademecum Natuurtechniek – Inrichting en Beheer van Waterlopen (1994) – Belgian Guideline	53
2.2.5 CUR 200-205 Natuurvriendelijke Oevers (1999) – Dutch Guideline	54
2.2.6 British Waterway Management Guide (1999)	55
2.2.7 UK Green Approaches in River Engineering – Supporting Implementation of Green Infrastructure.....	56
2.2.8 CETMEF Aménagement des Berges des Voies Navigables (2009) and Diques et Berges et Berges des Voies Navigable (2010) and Other Reports.....	56
2.2.9 Technical Guidelines for Green Constructions of Inland Waterways (2021) – Chinese Guidelines	57
2.2.10 EU ECOSHAPE Project	58
2.2.11 PIANC Report N° 195 – ‘Ecosystem Services – a Multicriteria Evaluation Framework’	58
2.2.12 Working with Nature	59
2.2.13 US Stream Restoration Guidance	60
2.2.14 US Living Shoreline Guidance	60
2.3 Other Relevant Literature and Case Studies	60
2.3.1 Case Studies on TBPs on Flemish Waterways (Updated Last Version on BSCW) .	60
2.3.2 German DWA-M 620 Guideline on Bioengineering Methods for Small Waters without Navigation	61
2.3.3 German LUBW Guideline on Bioengineering Methods for Streams (2013)	62
2.4 Conclusions from the Literature Survey	62
3 TECHNICAL-BIOLOGICAL BANK-PROTECTION (TBP) MEASURES	64
3.1 Indirect Measures (Pre-Embankment)	64
3.1.1 Measures Close to the Bank Slope Using Sheet-Pile Walls	65
3.1.2 Measures Close to the Bank Using Parallel Dams	70
3.1.3 Measures Far from the Embankment	71
3.2 Direct Measures (on the Bank Slope)	71
3.2.1 Measures Using Only Plants (Green Solutions)	71
3.2.2 Measures Using Both Plants and Technical Elements (Green-Grey Solutions)	78
3.2.3 Measures with Technical Elements Only (Grey Solutions)	83
3.3 Ecological Enhancement	84
3.4 Natural Banks.....	86

3.5	Flanking Measures.....	88
3.5.1	Influence of River Engineering on Bank Stability	89
3.5.2	Consideration of River-Engineering Works with a Focus on Ecological Issues....	95
3.5.3	Non-Engineering Measures.....	105
3.6	Synthesis	108
4	DETAILED VIEW ON RECOMMENDED MEASURES.....	109
4.1	Categorisation of Measures According to Waterway Properties, Ship-Induced Impacts and the Documentation Categories Used in Part 2.....	109
4.2	Collection of Basic Types (BTs)	112
4.3	Fact Files (FFs) of Implemented Measures	115
4.4	Case Studies (CSs)	120
4.5	Design Case (DC) and New Measure Combinations	122
4.6	Conclusions Concerning the Preselection of Measures and the Detailed Design 122	
5	PRESELECTION OF APPROPRIATE MEASURES	124
5.1	Scope of the Preselection Approach	125
5.2	Outline and Application Steps	127
6	PROCESS RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DETAILED DESIGN	136
6.1	Design Procedure	136
6.2	Preparatory Works.....	139
6.3	7 Steps Approach	143
6.4	Steps after Selection	157
7	DISCUSSION OF THE BEST-PRACTICE APPROACH'S (BPA) LIMITS	159
8	THE FUTURE OF RESPONSIBLE INTEGRATED DESIGN OF NATURE-FRIENDLY BANK-PROTECTION METHODS AND THE USE OF THE DECISION MODEL	160
9	REFERENCES.....	161
	APPENDIX A: ABBREVIATIONS AND GLOSSARY.....	168
A.1	ABBREVIATIONS	168
A.2	GLOSSARY	170
	APPENDIX B: WG 128 TERMS OF REFERENCE - TOR (SEPT. 2015).....	174

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Structure and links between Parts 1-3 of the report and guidance for selective reading and applying	15
Figure 2: Visualisation of all measures described comprehensively in Part 2 of the WG 128 report:.....	17
Figure 3: Visualisation of Fact Files (FFs) for low ΔW and average ship-induced impact up to high ΔW and average ship-induced impacts	18
Figure 4: Visualisation of Fact Files (FFs) and Case Studies (CSs) for high ΔW and high ship-induced impacts.....	19
Figure 5: Structure of the preselection scheme with its links to the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) approach	20
Figure 6: Groups and subgroups of the AHP approach	21
Figure 7: Simplified application steps of the preselection scheme (left) and the detailed design (right)	23
Figure 8: Visualisation of ranking lists (first-named three measures each) of the four categories (left and right columns) and corresponding matched results (middle column) for the standard DC (Weser, Stolzenau)	24
Figure 9: Example of the design charts in the UK guide concerning bioengineering methods, applied to the Weser Design Case (from Part 3, Appendix C)	25
Figure 10: Selected results from preselection tools, applied to the Weser DC (ranked from left to right)	26
Figure 11: Extract from Part 2 concerning the Basic Type (BT) 'Life Willows at Toe and Bank' ..	26
Figure 12: Extract from the large list of knockout criteria (relevance for DC Weser marked in blue letters)	27
Figure 13: Examples (variants) chosen to explain the design approach of the WG 128 report	28
Figure 1.1: Contribution of the WG 128 report to the detailed design of bank protections in inland waterways.....	38
Figure 1.2: How to read and apply the report for different users.....	42
Figure 2.1: Overview by components of bank protection measures (fields with grey background show indirect measures, fields with white background show direct measures) ..	47
Figure 2.2: Visualisation of the recommended 10 measures of the DWA M-519 approach (Sketches: © STOWASSER, taken from DWA (2016))	49
Figure 2.3: Example of the UK estuary edges approach linking BCs to selected measures	51
Figure 2.4: Types of bank stabilisation according to UK estuary edges approach	52
Figure 2.5: Cross sections of embankments for waterways (left and middle) and canals (right) in the Vademecum Natuurtechniek (1994)	54
Figure 3.1: Types of indirect measures close to the embankment Type 1: parallel dam; Type 2: vertical wall; Type 3: combination Above, top level above MW; below, top level below MW [CUR 201, 1999].....	65
Figure 3.2: River Spree at Berlin-Charlottenburg, Germany Top level about 0.3 m above MW; Sill level at openings about 0.8 m below MW	67
Figure 3.3: Canal Brussels-Scheldt, Belgium Height of the underwater opening about 1.3 m from the underwater slope to MW	68
Figure 3.4: Canal Brussels-Scheldt, Belgium Quarry stone parallel dam with underwater openings	70
Figure 3.5: Willow growth in the direction of flow (flexible shoots) (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG) ...	72
Figure 3.6: Formation of new shoots from the stem (self-healing capacity) (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG)	72

Figure 3.7: Installation of willow-brush mattresses on the River Rhine (end of November 2012) (Photo: P. Fleischer, BAW)	74
Figure 3.8: Willow sprouting in the first vegetation period (end of May 2012) (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG)	74
Figure 3.9: Dense willow five years later (4 August 2016) (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG)	74
Figure 3.10: Well-rooted plant mat in nursery growing basin (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG)	76
Figure 3.11: Exposed roots of a planting mat with grasses and herbs, installed as bank protection on the River Rhine.....	76
Figure 3.12: Bank protection with reeds along the Huai'an-Huaiyin section of Beijing-Hangzhou Canal, China	77
Figure 3.13: Vetiver grass planted on the bank slope in the Xiaojiang River, China	77
Figure 3.14: Vegetated rip rap after installing cuttings and log-branch cuttings (left), while installing hedge layers (middle), some months after installation (right; Rhine km 440.7)	79
Figure 3.15: Reed gabions (before, during, and after installation)	79
Figure 3.16: Prefabricated structures during site construction (left) and after completion (right) along the Danjin Licao River, Jiangsu, China, channel-regulation project	80
Figure 3.17: A single ecological gabion (left) and the ecological gabion retaining wall (right) in the downstream dyke section of the Three Gorges Dam.....	80
Figure 3.18: The fish-nest brick revetment (left) and with vegetation (right) along the Yangtze River.....	81
Figure 3.19: Recent evolution of revetment structure for restricted waterways in the Yangtze River Delta	81
Figure 3.20: Composite revetment structure using boxes and flappers.....	82
Figure 3.21: Instantaneous status of water surface as test ship passes under different conditions	82
Figure 3.22: Rip rap revetment on the sloped bank in the foreground and sheet-pile wall on the opposite vertical bank (Havel-Oder-Waterway km 66)	83
Figure 3.23: Rip rap revetment structure	84
Figure 3.24: Design of a toe protection [MAR, 2008]	84
Figure 3.25: Installation of fish-effective deadwood fascines on the River Rhine, near Worms (left, in October 2011; right, in September 2012) (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG)	85
Figure 3.26: Left, creation of a stone wall in front of the bank as protection against ship-induced loading, calmed water zone with root plates behind and vegetated rip rap as TBP (River Rhine, near Worms in July 2012) (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG); Right, establishment of a willow ridge in a rip rap bank with accumulation of driftwood (River Rhine, near Daxlanden, Germany, in August 2020) (Photo: K. Behrendt, BfG)	85
Figure 3.27: Fish shelter made of deadwood on the River Rhine near Daxlanden in October 2019 (Photo: S. Wieland, BfG)	86
Figure 3.28: The regulated Meuse River near Gennep, the Netherlands, where cohesive banks present a shallow submerged terrace and vegetation naturally growing after a few years ..	87
Figure 3.29: Brussels-Scheldt Canal, Belgium, quarry-stone parallel dam with underwater openings	87
Figure 3.30: The unregulated IJssel River in the Netherlands, with unprotected composite banks between transverse groynes near Zwolle, in the long term	88
Figure 3.31: Strongly simplified diffraction of ship waves of inland vessels at groyne heads	93
Figure 3.32: Rough reference values for erosion-causing flow velocities (third column) and corresponding heights of breaking waves (fourth column) from PIANC (2008)	94
Figure 3.33: Finless porpoises, rare aquatic animal living in the Yangtze River, China	95
Figure 3.34: Fish-bone dyke in the east channel of the Yangtze River, China	96

Figure 3.35: Fish-mouth dyke in the east channel of the Yangtze River, China	96
Figure 3.36: Ecological protection of banks in the middle reaches of the Yangtze River, China.....	97
Figure 3.37: L-head groyne works in the Chishui River, a tributary of the upper reaches of the Yangtze River (with ecological benefits)	97
Figure 3.38: Large dredging-suction vessel and bucket-ladder dredger.....	98
Figure 5.1: Correlations between the three parts of the report	124
Figure 5.2: Basic ideas behind the BPA	126
Figure 5.3: Transfer of experience from the chosen AC example: willow-brush mattresses at the free-flowing River Rhine at Worms to a possible application at the DC site example (impounded Weser at Stolzenau)	127
Figure 5.4: Flow chart of the preselection approach with a focus on the categorisation of criteria, the corresponding tables in the Excel files, and the matching procedure for different results	128
Figure 5.5: Extract (first five columns, related to stability) from the Excel table DFT (DC, feasibility check, technical issues). The degree of fulfilment scores concerning the excess pore water pressure (column D) and the weight-averaged score of all stability-related criteria are marked by a blue frame.....	130
Figure 5.6: Visualisation of ranking lists (first-named three measures each) of all four categories (left and right columns) and corresponding matched results (middle column) for the standard DC (Weser, Stolzenau, Germany)	133
Figure 6.1: Simplified application steps of the preselection scheme (left) and the detailed design (right)	137
Figure 6.2: Overview on the recommended detailed design approach – aspects and criteria groups	139
Figure 6.3: Extract from Table A.2-1 in Part 3: Discussion of local BCs and its implications on design – BCs used for screening.....	141
Figure 6.4: Extract from Table 6-2 in Part 3 – Collection of technical demands related to stability.....	142
Figure 6.5: Extract from Table 6-7 in Part 3 – Collection of technical BCs for preselection	142
Figure 6.6: Table 6-9 in Part 3, listing most important, design-relevant demands for the Weser DC	143
Figure 6.7: Extract from Table 6-10 in Part 3, listing most important BCs for the DC Weser	143
Figure 6.8: Selected results from preselection tools, applied to the Weser DC (ranked from left to right)	144
Figure 6.9: Example of the numerous design charts in the UK-Guide – here concerning bioengineering methods	145
Figure 6.10: Links between preselection and proposals for AHP scores – here used as preselection tool	146
Figure 6.11: Extract from Part 2 concerning the BT Live willow at toe and bank	147
Figure 6.12: Extract from the large list of knockout criteria (relevance for Weser DC marked in blue letters)	148
Figure 6.13: Examples (variants) chosen to explain the design approach in the report	149

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1: Necessary fairway-bank distances to avoid fluidization of bank sediments, derived by using several assumptions and approximative formulas from GBB (2010) and MAR (2008).....	91
Table 3-2: Perpendicular line layout of the section	99
Table 4-1: Categorisation of measures with references to chapter names in Part 2 (Note: BT – Basic Type; FF – Fact File; CS – Case Study)	109
Table 4-2: Screening criteria for using the measure characterisations (Note: BC – boundary condition)	110
Table 4-3: Screenshot from the SCREENING-TAB in the corresponding Excel worksheet.....	111
Table 4-4: List and visualisation of all BTs considered in this report with chapter name in Part 2	113
Table 4-5: Overview of screening criteria concerning BCs and design features – BTs.....	114
Table 4-6: Overview of screening criteria concerning key design objectives and achieved goals – BTs	115
Table 4-7: Structure of the FFs collection in Part 2.....	116
Table 4-8: List and visualisation of all FFs considered in this report with chapter name in Part 2	118
Table 4-9: Overview of search criteria concerning BCs and design features – FFs	119
Table 4-10: Overview of search criteria concerning key design objectives and achieved goals – FFs	120
Table 4-11: List and visualisation of all CSs considered in this report with chapter name in Part 2	121
Table 4-12: Overview of search criteria concerning BCs and design features – CSs	121
Table 4-13: Overview of search criteria concerning key design objectives and achieved goals – CSs	122
Table 4-14: List and visualisation of the Design Case (DC) and a standard Analysis Case (AC) with chapter name in Part 3	122
Table 6-1: Weighting and scoring for the AHP with scoring guidance	153
Table 6-2: Guidance for determining the S1.1 score (degree of fit to site and adaptability)	155
Table 6-3: Weighting Scoring guidance for S3.3 score (performance related to selected habitats).....	157